Frame map of the Civic Space Debate in Kazakhstan (March 2016 - March 2018)

Based on an analysis of open source, public posts on social media fora

Mostly Anti Civic Action/NGO frames

Threat to the nation

- Selling the homeland
- Preserving Kazakh language
- Threats from foreign values & religions



Divisiveness – 80%

Granteaters

- NGOs/Civil society
- Foreign agents
- Instability
- Non-transparent
- Bringing foreign values



Divisiveness – 90%

Threat to Stability

- Support the President
- Civic rights, but not upsetting status quo
- Predictability
- Criticism of criticism
- Better not to comm



Divisiveness – 80%

- * The size of each circle represents the % of that theme/frame in the analysed social media debate
- * The language breakdown represents the % from the total number of posts analysed



Frame map of the Civic Space Debate in Kazakhstan (March 2016 - March 2018)

Based on an analysis of open source, public posts on social media fora

Mostly Pro Civic-Action Frames

Community Solidarity

- Co-operation
- Local pride
- Problem-solving
- Community action
- Civic spirit
- Independence



Divisiveness – 20%

Anti-Totalitarianism

- Totalitarian leadership failing
- System is a kleptocracy
- Defending civic rights



Divisiveness – 80%

Animal Welfare

- Protecting animals in danger
- Taking action
- Organising activism



Divisiveness – 20%

Charity

- Supporting vulnerable groups
- Giving alms
- Group solidarity
- Asking for support
- Celebrating support



Divisiveness - 10%